



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/939,406

Examiner

DOLITZKY et al.

caminer

Art Unit

	Emily Bernhard	t 1624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Emily Bernhardt	(3)		
(2) Mr. Wallace			
Date of Interview 10/8/02			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛭 applicant's repr	esentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief	description:	
Claim(s) discussed:	<u> </u>		
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: All rejections discussed. Applicants may present a narrowed	nature of what was a	greed to if an agreement of	•
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached **EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1624**			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	- E	xaminer's signature, if re	quired